UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/585,814	07/12/2006	Yasuhisa Masuda	BAN-002	6256		
20374 KUBOVCIK &	7590 09/30/200 : KUBOVCIK	EXAMINER				
SUITE 1105		YABUT, DANIEL D				
ARLINGTON,	CLARK STREET VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3656			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			09/30/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		1	Application No.	plication No. Applicant(s)					
			10/585,814		MASUDA ET AL.				
		Ī	Examiner		Art Unit				
			DANIEL YABUT		3656				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ers on the cover she	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will v will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMM a). In no event, however, r apply and will expire SIX (6 tuse the application to become	IUNICATION may a reply be time b) MONTHS from to me ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this composition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>12 Jul</i> v	2006						
•									
3)	, -								
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (er No(s)/Mail Da ce of Informal Pa r:	te				

Art Unit: 3656

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 12, 16 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bezin, US Patent 4,811,626.

Bezin discloses a crank for a bicycle (Fig. 1) comprising a(n):

Re claim 1

- Outer shell (1f; Fig. 10) made of a fiber-reinforced plastic (C3 / L57-65)
- First insert member (2) configured and arranged to introduce a load from a pedal shaft (C2 / L55-57)
- Second insert member (3) coupled to a bracket spindle and configured and arranged to transmit a load to a sprocket (C2 / L64-66).
- Outer shell comprises at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members at least a part of each of which is molded in advance (C3 / L57-65). *Note:* Regarding the recitation, "each of which is molded in advance", the MPEP states, "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process". As set forth in MPEP 2113, product by process claims are not limited to the manipulation of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. Once a product appearing to be substantially the same or similar is found, a 35 USC 102/103 rejection may be made and the burden is shifted to applicant to show an unobvious difference. See MPEP 2113.

Art Unit: 3656

Re claim 2

 Outer shell is formed by bonding said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members to each other (C3 / L57-65). Note: Please see the above note regarding MPEP 2113.

Re claim 3

 Outer shell is formed by mechanically connecting said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members to each other (C3 / L57-65). Note: Please see the above note regarding MPEP 2113.

Re claim 12

 At least one of said insert members is formed from a metal, a resin, a fiber-reinforced plastic or a combination thereof (C2 / L47-48).

Re claim 16

 At least one of said insert members is bonded directly to all of said fiber-reinforced plastic members (C2 / L50-54). Note: Please see the above note regarding MPEP 2113.

Re claim 18

- Method of producing a crank for a bicycle comprising the steps of:
 - Premolding a plurality of fiber-reinforced plastic members using a single-faced mold
 or a double-faced mold (C3 / L57-65; C1 / L48-51)
 - Integrating said plurality of fiber-reinforced plastic members premolded (C3 / L57-65)

Re claim 19

Plurality of fiber-reinforced plastic members molded in said premolding step are integrated as
an outer shell of a first insert member configured and arranged to introduce a load from a
pedal shaft and a second insert member coupled to a bracket spindle and configured and
arranged to transmit a load to a sprocket (C3 / L57-65; C1 / L48-51; C2 / L55-57; C2 / L6466).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3656

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 4 and 17** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezin, US Patent 4,811,626.

As to **claim 4**, Bezin discloses all of the claim limitations, see above, including the use of KEVLAR® (C2 / L36-39), but does **not** expressly disclose 50% or more of reinforcing fibers forming said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members are in a range of 290 to 700 GPa in elastic modulus and in a range of 40 to 70% in fiber volume content.

Regarding **claim 4**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide 50% or more of reinforcing fibers forming said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members are in a range of 290 to 700 GPa in elastic modulus and in a range of 40 to 70% in fiber volume content, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.05.

As to claim 17, Bezin discloses all of the claim limitations, see above, including a matrix resin (C2 / L35-37) forming said fiber-reinforced plastic members, but does **not** expressly disclose wherein a Barcol hardness of an adhesive used for said bonding is smaller than that of the matrix resin forming said fiber-reinforced plastic members.

Regarding claim 17, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a Barcol hardness of an adhesive used for said bonding is smaller than that of the matrix resin forming said fiber-reinforced plastic members., since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.05.

5. **Claim 5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezin, US Patent 4,811,626 in view of Ording et al., US PG Publication 2003/0051573 A1.

Bezin discloses all of the claim limitations, see above, but does **not** expressly disclose a formation of reinforcing fibers forming said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members is a unidirectionally arranged formation of continuous fibers or a woven fabric.

Ording et al. teaches the use of a formation of reinforcing fibers forming said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members is a unidirectionally arranged formation of continuous fibers or a woven fabric (para. [0060] / L3-6) for the purpose of countering deflections and forces that the crank will experience during a pedal stroke (para. [0060] / L6-8).

Regarding **claim 5**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a formation of reinforcing fibers forming said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members is a unidirectionally arranged formation of continuous fibers or a woven fabric, as taught by Ording et al., in the device of Bezin for the purpose of countering deflections and forces that the crank will experience during a pedal stroke.

6. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezin, US Patent 4,811,626 in view of Valle, Japanese Patent JP 2003072666 A.

As to **claim 6**, Bezin discloses all of the claim limitations, see above, but does **not** expressly disclose at least a part of said outer shell is covered with a fiber-reinforced plastic layer.

Valle teaches the use of at least a part of an outer shell (at 10) being covered with a fiber-reinforced plastic layer (36; Fig. 5) for the purpose of providing the structural characteristic required for a crank (para. [007] / L11-14 in translation of Valle).

Regarding **claim 6**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide at least a part of said outer shell is covered with a fiber-reinforced plastic layer, as taught by Valle, in the device of Bezin for the purpose of providing the structural characteristic required for a crank.

Bezin as modified above further discloses the following:

Re claim 7

 At least two fiber-reinforced plastic members are connected to each other so that a connection line thereof appeared outside extends in a longitudinal direction of said crank (see

Art Unit: 3656

near X and Y axis in Fig. 10), and at least a part of said connection line is covered with a fiber- reinforced plastic layer (Fig. 5; Valle)

Re claim 8

• 50 to 100% of the entire length of said connection line is covered with a fiber-reinforced plastic layer (Fig. 5; Valle)

Re claim 9

- Thickness of said fiber-reinforced plastic layer on said connection line is less than the thickness of each of said at least two fiber-reinforced plastic members (see thickness at 36 compared to at 10 in Fig. 5 of Valle)
- Re claim 10
- 30% or more of reinforcing fibers of said fiber-reinforced plastic layer on said connection line are oriented at an angle of 45 to 135 degrees relative to said connection line (see angle at 36 between 45 to 135 degrees in Fig. 5 of Valle)
- Re claim 11
- Formation of reinforcing fibers forming said fiber-reinforced plastic layer is a woven fabric (para. [008] / L1-6 in translation of Valle).
- 7. **Claims 13 and 14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezin, US Patent 4,811,626 in view of Whatley, US Patent 5,632,940.

As to **claim 13**, Bezin discloses all of the claim limitations, see above, but does **not** expressly disclose at least one of said insert members is formed from a combination of an aluminum alloy and a fiber-reinforced plastic.

Whatley teaches the use of at least one of said insert members is formed from a combination of an aluminum alloy and a fiber-reinforced plastic for the purpose of providing reinforcement to these elements (C3 / L35-37).

Regarding **claim 13**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide at least one of said insert members is formed from a combination of an aluminum alloy and a fiber-reinforced plastic.

Art Unit: 3656

Bezin as modified above further discloses the following:

Re claim 14

At least one of said insert members is formed from a heat treated aluminum alloy having a
fatigue strength of 10 kgf/mm^2 or more (C3 / L64-67; Whatley). Note: Regarding the
recitation "formed from a heat treated aluminum alloy", please see the above note regarding
MPEP 2113.

8. **Claim 15** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezin, US Patent 4,811,626 in view of Nishimura et al., US PG Publication 2002/0150774 A1.

As to **claim 15**, Bezin discloses all of the claim limitations, see above, but does **not** expressly disclose at least one of said insert members is formed from an aluminum alloy formed with an oxide skin.

Nishimura et al. teaches the use of insert members (86) being formed from an aluminum alloy formed with an oxide skin (para. [0057] / L5-6; para. [0058] / L1-6; para. [0059] / L3-7) for the purpose of preventing scratches and corrosion (para. [0060] / L1-6).

Regarding claim 15, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide at least one of said insert members is formed from an aluminum alloy formed with an oxide skin, as taught by Nishimura et al., in the device of Bezin for the purpose of preventing scratches and corrosion.

As to **claim 15 further**, Bezin as modified above does **not** expressly disclose the oxide skin having a thickness of 3 to 30 micrometers.

Regarding claim 15 further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the oxide skin having a thickness of 3 to 30 micrometers., since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.05.

Art Unit: 3656

3656

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to DANIEL YABUT whose telephone number is (571)270-5526. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Richard W. Ridley can be reached on (571)272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/DANIEL YABUT/

Examiner, Art Unit 3656

9/24/2009

/Richard WL Ridley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656